P...ENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PC3-073	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/003429	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 January 2005 (28.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 January 2004 (30.01.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL CORPORATION				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	·		
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter-	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 31 July 2006 (31.07.2006)		
	m	4 NAMO	Authorized officer		

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Yolaine Cussac

e-mail: pt11@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 1 NOV 2005

From the		2 1 1107 2000
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		WIPO PO
To: ROBERT C. HYTA WELLS ST. JOHN, P.S. 601 WEST FIRST STREET SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828		PCT ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
SFORANC, WA 39201-3020		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1.6 NOV 2009
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	See paragraph 2 below
PC3-073 International application No. International filing	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
2005 (2		30 January 2004 (30.01.2004)
PCT/US05/03429 28 January 2005 (2 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class	sification and IPC	
IPC(7): and US Ci.: Applicant		
PCBU SERVICES INC.		
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the followin	g items:	
Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority	•	
	with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		
 	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard lanations supporting such	to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
Box No. VI Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the internation	onal application	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the int	ternational application	
2. FURTHER ACTION		
If a demand for international preliminary examination International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPE Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the o that written opinions of this International Searching Aut	chosen IPEA has notified	the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 me.		
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized off	icer
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	LANSANAN	YALLEY
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No.	571-272-0697

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/03429

Box No. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language		
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 		
a. type of material		
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material		
in written format		
in computer readable form		
c. time of filing/furnishing		
contained in international application as filed.		
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additional comments:		
·		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/03429

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Statement Novelty (N)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YESNO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YESNO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES NO		

Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-17, 25-340, 44-71, 115-121, 126-134, 136-140, 144-145 and 153-156 lack inventive steps under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Sekiya et. al. (US patent 5,847,243) in combination with Fernandez et. al. (US 5,045,634) further in view of Sakyu et. al. (US 6,235,951 B1); Hideo (Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 79, 121, 2003, 111-130) and Hideo et. al. (Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 79, 121, 2003, 111-130).

Applicants recites a production process comprising halogenating an alkyl reactan with a halogenating agent within a reactor to form a halogenated compound Th

Skiya et. al. (US patent 5,847,243) and Fernandez et. al. (US patent 5,045,634) teach aprocess of halogenating an alkyl reactant with a halogenating agent within a reactor to form a halogenated compound.

The difference between Skiya et. al. and the claims of the instant application is that the reactor of the instant application requires glass wall whereas Skiya et. al. is silent on whether the reactor has a glass wall or not.

Additionally, the claims of the instant application recites potassium fluoride and iodine as the halogenating, whereas Skiya et. al. teach hydrogen fluoride and iodine as the reducing agent.

Potassium iodide is more ionic and easier to break and release the iodide for ion to react than the iodide ion from hydrogen iodide. Further more, using a reactor with an interior glass wall makes it easier and less expensive to clean the reactor at the end of a reaction.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have been motivated to substituted potassium iodie for hydrogen iodide or a reactor with an interior glass wall for any other reactor. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase the yield and to save the cost of cleaning the reactor at the end of a reaction.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY International application No. PCT/US05/03429

Supplemental Box	
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	

V.1. Reasoned Statements:

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 18-24,41-43,72-80,100,102,106-114,122-125,135,141-143,146-152,157-167 and 198

The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims NONE
The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims NONE

The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE
The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims NONE
The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE

Willes discurs etus.